

1  
2  
3  
4  
5                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7                   AT SEATTLE

8 PREMERA BLUE CROSS,  
9                   Plaintiff,  
10                 v.  
11                 CHANGE HEALTHCARE, INC.;  
12                 UNITEDHEALTH GROUP, INC.;  
13                 OPTUM, INC.,  
14                 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:25-cv-00497-JNW  
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

15                 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Premera Blue Cross's  
16                 ("Premera") Unopposed Motion to File Under Seal Portion of Complaint and Exhibit  
17                 A of Complaint. Dkt. No. 7.

18                 To overcome the strong presumption of public access to court records, a  
19                 motion to seal must satisfy the procedural requirements set forth in LCR 5(g)(3)(A)  
20                 and the substantive requirements rooted in LCR 5(g)(3)(B) and Ninth Circuit case  
21                 law. *See Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178–83 (9th Cir.  
22                 2006). Premera's motion fails on both fronts. It includes no meet-and-confer  
23                 certification and is thus procedurally defective, and its assertions of harm are  
                       merely conclusory, therefore failing on the merits. *See* Dkt. No. 7 at 2.

Rather than denying, the Court RESERVES decision on the motion, Dkt. No. 7, and ORDERS the parties to show cause, within fourteen (14) days of this Order, why the Court should maintain the unredacted complaint and exhibits at Dkt. No. 1-1 under seal. The parties may respond via stipulation or individually. The Clerk is DIRECTED to maintain the materials at issue under seal pending resolution of this motion.

It is so ORDERED.

Dated this 21st day of April.



Jamal N. Whitehead  
United States District Judge